Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/542,540	ROHRIG, PETER
	Examiner	Art Unit
	ROBERT J. HICKS	3781
All Participants: Status of Application: Allowance		
(1) <u>ROBERT J. HICKS</u> .	(3)	
(2) ZAREEFA FLENER.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 8 March 2010	Time: <u>12:25 PM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description: ☐ Applicant's representative)		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 1, 5, 6, 13-15 Prior art documents discussed: Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance		
directly resulted in the allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
/Robert J Hicks/ Examiner, Art Unit 3781	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Application No. 10/542,540

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner spoke with Attorney Flener about the following changes within an Examiner's Amendment. Claim 1 Lines 8-9 would be amended to read "... wherein the fastening ring is spaced apart from the membrane supporting element. Claims 5 and 13 have been cancelled, since these claims have been incorporated into independent claim 1, by the applicant's amendment. Since claims 5 and 13 have been cancelled, claims 6 plus 14 and 15 will all depend from independent claim 1. Attorney Flener approved of these amendments during the phone interview...